

96-98

**From:** msquitieri@mindspring.com  
**To:** Kathleen Abernathy, Michael Copps, KM KJMWEB, Mike Powell, Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Sun, Feb 23, 2003 2:34 PM  
**Subject:** Reject Bells' Monopoly Bid

Message from Michelle Squitieri

1332 Shattuck Ave. #6  
Berkeley, CA 94709

Dear FCC Commissioner:

I urge you to reject the Bells' self serving proposals to eliminate the UNE-Ps.

Pacific Bell is already failing to comply with legal requirements for fair competition. I personally had experience with purchasing DSL service from other companies, and I know that Pacific Bell put the connections it was legally required to provide for other DSL providers on the back burner, causing me and other customers tremendous inconvenience, and perhaps putting one or more providers out of business. This is unacceptable.

The old AT&T monopoly was not a bad thing because it was highly regulated. Since that company was broken up and industry was partly deregulated, the technology has raced ahead but the quality of customer service has deteriorated badly. If you give the Bells this advantage, we'll have fewer choices and be even more at the mercy of companies that really do not care about their customers. They really don't. And consumers will hold the FCC responsible.

Sincerely,

Michelle Squitieri

Generated by :  
EasyForm - Copyright 1999 by Thomas J. Delorme  
<http://getperl.virtualave.net>

**From:** jmk  
**To:** Commissioner Adelstein  
**Date:** Sun, Feb 23, 2003 11:52 PM  
**Subject:** Linesharing

The very technology that allowed competition to flourish and prices to drop you choose to sacrifice for a pipe dream? Im mystified as to how intelligent men could take so long to come up with a compromise that helps no one and hurts all. You kill the large telcos as they get no relief on UNE-P. You kill broadband competition by handing it off 100% to the incumbent. The Bells do not need to invest in fiber when they can simply use the copper. You kill the consumer as you guarantee higher prices by removal of the one company that was attempting competition. What on EARTH were you thinking? This is as bad as I have ever seen our economy and you and your hot shot lawyer buddies just made it worse.  
Thanks,

Joshua Kuhn  
JMKENTERTAINMENT  
Hollywood, CA.

**From:** Blair Porter  
**To:** Mike Powell  
**Date:** Sun, Feb 23, 2003 1:51 PM  
**Subject:** UNE decision ???

I have just one question regarding the 2/20 ruling regarding UNE. Requiring incumbents to provide access is one thing, but requiring access at price sometimes 50% below the break-even point is just not an equitable situation. Should I be allowed to raid your refrigerator, taking whatever I please, paying only 50 cents on the dollar? How much red ink, layoffs, etc. will the incumbents be required to bleed before "fairness" cuts both ways? Please have the professional decency to respond to this inquiry.

Blair Porter  
Ballwin, Missouri  
bp3434@swbell.net